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PREFACE
In my first essays I explained the methods on how to achieve a government that would be of great essence to the people of this country, however, what must we do after the revolution. In this set of extended essays, I will explain our plans to make sure the future does not, in fact, end up as our present situation, and take from what we have learned beforehand, to apply it so, it, for lack of a better word, sticks, and glues society together into one solid piece of an integrated state. These shall lay the blueprint for the coming society as it stands. Because when all is said and done, we can truly live within God's kingdom without fear of retribution, or corruption or any sort of slashing blade that tears asunder the fabric of a nation based on mutually shared values that each one of us shall hold. Let us begin.

Part I, Chapter I:

State Atheism
I tend to believe since humans are inherently rational, the end game of all that is solipsism and subjectivity is to find the only way people can know true objective rationalism is through religion. Most countries or empires have lasted due to a populace that is free with religion than free from without. Israel, the Arab states, Russia, and South America and most of the Eastern bloc know the horrors all too well of religious oppression, and have reverted back to, as history is a cycle, to religious unity, unified by God and not the state. One of the main reasons is that religiously centered communities grow quicker amongst the din of outsider cities polluted with urbanism and intellect, the same intellect that drives them to be selfish of one another and know only themselves, and not beyond themselves, to exist, to know, if those surrounding them are truly conscious. Rapid expansionism of these ideas and communities to overrun the cities, already rought with societal deterioration and criminal activity, since abortion rates are high as it is with these people, and anti-natalism so common that taking them out would be easy as blowing out a candle, extinguishing the flame of rationalism as it stands forever. We must take up arms and level every building, to build farmland over pavement and spread and multiply as fast as we can, as Jesus said, "bring forth and multiply", however violent the means, they justify the ends.

Part I, Chapter II:

State Secularism

One must know the separation of church and state is invaluable, but the church must remain a part of everyday life. A higher authority must demand the laws stick to religious general judgements, but never sway towards one or the other, excepting
Talmudic judaism because its the book of lies, a Perennial government, but never becoming involved with one religion over the other as the state is established. remaining atheists can be used as slave labor to reconstruct themselves into being better citizens for the state, by doing good deeds, for it is religious enough for them at at least. Maybe such measures will show them the grace of God by knowing they are doing their part to make such life better for the rest of us, and may lead them to convert, as the suffering they will endure through hard labor may remind them of Christ’s ascent upon the hill. And it won't be in vain, for once they convert they will be inducted into regular society, one by one to join into proper society. However, a union of church and state is disastrous, since one may superseed the other, the government must be appear religious in law but never BE religious in law, the unifications of the balanced ideals of what is best for the state to be decided by local officials appointed from each minor religion to delegate on what is best for the state, and the appointees elected to affirm those laws once decide by a senate of religions, nothing too authoritarian or libertarian, into natural law, the law of nature, what is best for man at his worst, his primitive being. In such discourse, the laws presented will be for the worst and at man's best will be inconsequential as those who follow may soon lead. the upward climb to godliness is a part-taking every man must do, to be a free citizen of the state. However, the only blasphemy that would not go unpunished is openly declared pro-Zionism. this must be silenced.

Part I, Chapter III:
The Role Of Women

As stated before, women are dualistic in nature, lest be the prostitute nor the do-gooder and ditelante, and must not be held above or promoted to positions of leadership, low level agriculture, farming, taking care of children and the sick should be their only position in life, for if a woman is promoted to a governmental position, she will place her interests in extratribal, not intratribal affairs and try to mother the world. Her world is in the nation, and for the betterment of the nation, and not for the betterment of culture that lest devour her and turn her into a corpse. When women do this en-masse, its a sigil of satan, thus be (and this will be discussed a bit in my next chapter and my eight essays on the economy of the nation) subject to harsher penalties among a social credit system. We cannot allow women as much freedom as men, for it will lead a nation to ruin. Look to the United States, Canada and Western Europe as examples of how NOT to do it, they consistently vote for emotion based tactics and nothing truly rational or religious, lest be both intwined in the state, for the rational is the religious, and that code shant be broken.
The Chinese are attempting to institute a social credit system into their
government and local structures, I shall take it a step further and explain why all
common citizens must act as three of a kind, as citizens, they can work and unionize
freely, as policemen, they must judge each others actions, whether good or bad, to
figure who progresses up the social ladder and who falls, the only use of weaponry is
for national self defense or the overthrow of an unjust leader, as the common citizen it is
patriotic to make sure the government shant not have unjust prudence over the rights of
the common citizen. The structure implied means that unionized workplaces can exist
without managers, absorbed into the state as separate but equal entities (will touch on
this in part 2), as policemen for each other it avoids the accusation of the law being
oppressive and treating its citizens as cattle for the state to pick up on, for each citizen is
accountable for one another's actions to make sure "peace thru power" and "peace thru
common law", one does not need to notice without a reasonable doubt that in our
current society that law enforcement thinks they are above the law, so why should we
not make the citizenry its own police force? they will be of the law, and as the law, as
intented. In the case of national defense, we do not secure resources that are not our
own, we do not strike first, we try and make ourselves as self-sufficient as possible, as
warriors, lawyers, policemen, and voters. more on that in the next chapter
Part I, Chapter V:
Is It Democracy Or Is It Not?

Well? The answer lies in the fact that many of common folk only know what’s best for them locally, but state and national must be appointed, as in my previous chapter, I pointed out, that in lieu of separation of church and state, local and state officials must be religiously inclined, and no woman may vote on these matters, just men of all characters, but at local level only to avoid popular voting practices. These higher ups will vote from local unions, organized by a mostly agrarian industry, assigned by trade, given to local representatives, to state, of each of the major religions of the country, to appoint a national leader, which follows the law on a religious but separate from religion government. All laws of the Gods shall be taken into consideration on how the nation should move forward and mixing of the laws of each into a constitution that represents most, if not all, the major perennial faiths. As Winston Churchill stated, as much as I have great reservations about the man said choice words about the average voter, and localism is the only power a sovereign citizen must have on these laws. To prevent the largely now agrarian, religious populace from revolting, they must vote in the best delegates by electoral, numerical count, so each citizen has their say. Since city dwelling urbanites are no longer a problem, we do not have to worry about popular votes as those are farmland now.
Part I, Chapter VI:
The Economics Question

As the economy goes, whilst the wage system will remain intact, the elected guilds set the prices and wages, a limit will be put on wealth and the revenue will provide free healthcare and internet (although the highest of technology will only be available to the elected delegates and the appointed leader, as only basic broadband to all citizens). The limit on wealth will provide for equitable redistribution. The head of the government will only intervene if a national emergency happens or a war breaks out, so the government will enact an emergency credit acceleration to ensure those who are top tier valuable citizens are called to duty. The social credit system will be based upon dividends paid back to the consumers, workers, delegates who participate in the economic system as a way of giving citizens a "pat on the back" for a job well done. One thing is for sure is that trade embargoes must end (look to chapter VIII for Foreign Policy), and any attempt for corporations to drag us into other people's conflicts will be met with a stiff "no!", the only stipulation is to tax imports on trade goods and send out exports free of taxes to ensure economic growth. The question of technology is a tough one to answer but I tend to believe that basic internet should be available to the citizens. High technology, such as advancements in armaments and defense should be funded entirely by the state, though as seen in Chapter IV, basic armaments should be given to all citizens and let the state handle the advanced technology because while I do not think citizens are incapable of manufacturing them on their own free will, they can be dangerous in the hands of sovereign citizens, however this only applies to artillery and tanks that will be provided to them to defend the land. so it will be a shared commodity between citizen and state, made by the state, for use by the citizen. This state will be an
agriculturally driven nation, mostly relying on livestock, grain, fruits, vegetables. That does not mean that exempts bricklayers, woodcutters, artisans, roofers, fencebuilders, etc. For as low tech as we try to maintain, each citizen’s craft will be represented by an elected guild, to discuss with delegates on how to manage price controls and wages. The Chinese method will only be implemented, as stated, in times of crisis or war for as the best will rise to the highest ranks of society to serve and protect the best interests of the state. In times of peace, the Douglas method will be generally used, and the Chinese method as stated in Chapter IV to maintain general order.

Part I, Chapter VII:
On Mother Earth And Father Sky
One must not understate how we must protect what God gave to us, as supplies are scarce and must be managed carefully. We must not exploit other nations for their resources and must be careful with our own. Clean energy alternatives must be offered to combat the spread of pollutants generated by technology and must be used intensely so that, in essence, everything is produced ethically and timely. As Bataille talks about the accursed share, less surplus would be generated with generational housing (every generation under one roof) so that means less carbon emissions for heating, cooling, cooking, etc. etc. less housing means less resource mining and will create a domino effect to ensure that the economy moves efficiently while respecting the planet. Surely one must think that man would not be able to farm his own land or hunt, this is nonsense, if he voluntarily decides on his own free will in action to feed his family so be it, knowing that he must be wise enough to self-sustain, and not to hunt endangered animals as per international law, whether or not this self-sufficiency will be reward is up for debate, depending on how he goes about doing it, respecting international as well as national law. disposal of waste will be kept to a minimum and exemplary status will be given to those that use biodegradeable materials, for this is a society that attempts to clean up the consumerist mess laid before and we shall fix it, contribute but do not pollute, for one might see their status go down into the pits of hell and left without a job, a home or food to eat.

Part I, Chapter VIII:
On Foreign Affairs
As stated in Chapters VI and VII, we must maintain an economic and cultural position relative to the world as one to emulate, not one to dispense of, so it is unwise of us to gain anything in entanglements with foreign nations or aiding nations in their petty squables overseas or abroad. Our primary concern is infrastructure, and We must only act in defense, never in offense. Many martial artists will teach this, as the Eastern teachers have much to give us. If a nation is too weak to fight against a formidable opponent, it is because they lack the testicular fortitude and honor to battle unilaterally against them and it is not our job to prop up foreign powers that fail to live up to this standard. It is not our fault they cannot handle war, it shows they are ill-equipped and deserve to be invaded. Of course one may call us cowardly. He is only cowardly who strikes first and cannot defend their land. We should not, and will not make alliances with those who call us to aid in war, economics is our first priority on the international stage and while we will maintain the same economy with both sides, it is not our problem to aid any nation in a martial conflict that does not directly involve us.

Part I, Chapter IX:

The Pursuit Of Education

I have a certain distaste for epistimelological institutions as they currently stand, for education, it should be voluntary, as Ivan Illich stated in his book "Deschooling
Society" and as I have in my previous essay as well, Schools act as Prisons, and while I have a distain for both as per Foucault, Schools should be reduced to libraries and data centers where people can come as they go to learn about history and trades. Learning by doing as many say, if they volunteer for the task. This frees up time for leisure and as with work, students, if such things will exist in the coming state, the former obviously but the latter not so much, the former will set hours in the guilds, the latter is more of a leisurely pursuit. People are not well intentioned to learn if they are forced to congregate in strict environments, this idea of "study at your own pace" enables people with different habits to engage in learning, and if they truly apply themselves they move up the societal ladder into employment, where they can set their hours, as per the guilds, to work towards improving societies with their skills. Colleges and existing institutions no longer look for so-called "diamonds in the rough" and what is a degree but a piece of useless paper, those with invaluable skills to learn can apply these talents to their future, and ultimately for the good of the people, society, and the nation.

Part I, Chapter X:

Epilogue

As you asked, I have provided, the bookend of the end result of revolution, the pinnacle of society, the state as it should stand, society as well off as it should be, for God, Leader and Country, it remains to be seen this document will stand the test of time as we enter the middle of the century. Plenty of pessimism is afoot, and with rapidly accelerating technology and pseudo-intellectuals still clinging to the idea of Capitalist mode of production, epistemological empiricism, and the dreaded artifice of scientific
modes of production, I have laid groundwork (God Willing) for something that may actually prove a solution. Heed my advice if you will but remember, you can't convert the unwilling.

J.
This is an extension of the previous essay, on the Future Agrarian State, and when I get inspired, I must write my heart out. I take notes of what I've learned from what I write and apply them to the next piece of writing, it makes sense to improve upon one's understanding of what they have wrote and grow as a person, but its also important to keep ties with one's past, both individual and communal past, for we would not be in the mess we are into today if we did. As stated this continues the essay previous, I hope you enjoy
Mircea Eliade, in his book "Sacred And Profane Space", used various fantastic metaphors to describe how society and communities form (I despise using the term "city" as it designates soulless urbanism) most communities have a central hub, that imitates the sun, a place to gather thoughts, to congregate. In most ancient communities this usually meant a place of worship or a church of some kind. with communal structures getting more discordinated, structures more abstract, they fail to point to anything sacred. the sacred space is important, as while it is the center of the town, the sun the center of the galaxy, and God the center of the universe, we must keep this tradition as we all know its a place that is cozy and represents a return to home, to roots, whereas modernism has eradicated any center of gravitational pull towards a root center, everything abstracts into a state of confusion, more akin to Medusa’s hair, wires on a motherboard, more akin to digital computing than anything rooted in the
natural state of man. If Theodor Adorno said that things take on an idiotic character when applied to math, why did he create disharmony with his musical works? of course, there will always be disharmony every now and then, abstract art is not bad in itself but sometimes, we, as humans need a comfort zone, even if it appears erradic to others. I somehow believe that was Adorno's way of finding his God, as Nietzsche put it, "art is life lived well". Adorno's issue with authority lay in his disillusionment with order, as order is needed to construct any all institutions that care not to be felled. If we find order in disharmony, thats well and good, but at large society must not be constructed on our emotional need to cause chaos, thats only a tool to fell empires, not to build them, they must be constructed upon the orders of the higher beings, as the universe and its various galaxies and stars are aligned to God's orders, Planet earth must be ordered to that as well, lest it be destroyed. Humans, being that we are cretinous at birth cry often because we want to be the center of attention and that is quite selfish of us. But the infant knows no better, he acts on instinct alone to direct the attention of the mother, the giver of life, the father, the seed of life, observes and moderates, every so lightly, but is quick to anger, as he is a mortal, unlike God, who is slow to anger. Adorno's hatred of authority may be rooted in an assumption that he despised his father, ironically enough something Freud might attest to, but find perposterosous. In essence, there is nothing wrong with wielding power to create, but sometimes to create new, you must destroy what has been wrought forth beforehand. And the cycle of life continues.

Part II, Chapter II
The Myth Of Modern Medicine

Every day, every waking hour, sometimes at night, people are fed innocuous amounts of artificially constructed, man made remedies for disorders they are told they have, many of them have unusual side effects and may be unjustly tested on God’s creatures. We go to therapy sessions where people in suits make decisions on our moral, and mental character based on pre-existing notions, only to pile on more medication to treat, but never fix what is wrong with us, if anything is wrong at all. Because if they actually solved anything, the businesses which handle such medications would go out of business and they reap too much profit into their greedy hands to ever let the false God of money go to embrace the spiritual side of human nature. As we speak of human nature, does one not feel relaxed getting in touch with the forests and long hikes and being around God’s creation? For most, and I’d wager, it feels much better, especially when the weather is nice (definition of nice depends on the individual in question), to see how wonderful life is outside the sturm und drang of everyday life. Churches and temples offer the same respite for less than a penny, some go to enjoy the company of others in rapture, others prefer to pray alone and give pittance to the collection plate, or pull out the prayer rug, or meditate. Humans are best left free of artifices of modern medicine to pursue their own happiness outside, in the realm of God. writing and music (two hobbies I participate in) are good therapy and its nice to not have to fill out papers and do appointments for things I (and many others) are unsure they are conditioned to have. the Structure of modern medicine and therapy must collapse in favor of more holistic and realistic cures. capitalism is something that often, inadvertenly, has an indirect and sometimes direct effect on human nature. We could be ourselves without having to be tied down to money, the eternal exploiter, that controls our lives, building communities, getting closer to your God/Gods, and being in touch with the soil and your blood.
Part II, Chapter III

Stalin and Hitler as Mythical Anti-Heroes

The Superhero trope has worn thin, as such emblematic of human nature, those are unrealistic goals for any human. Both Joseph Stalin and Adolf Hitler, have been vilified for unspeakable crimes but does anyone ever notice they too, like us, are human. Humans are the only ones capable of pure rationality. But in times of trouble, sometimes we must do the unthinkable or downright immoral things, just to make sure that our lives are secured, as individuals or in groups or even those of high authority in nations. Surely you might ask "but doesn't two wrongs not make a right?" they don't, but they don't make more wrongs either. Its all based on anecdotal evidence of the current situation. As I described in my Treatise On Ethical Solipsism, the matter of truth is now up for questioning, and its real down to the individual itself to decide what is right for them. but as we all know, despite atheists’ claims to contrary the higher spirits are watching, and only they can judge if you are doing wrong. But were they? We on earth do not know. Will they be vindicated by humanity? maybe not maybe so. But God knows. And God judges. We on earth are only capable of so much, and if the ends justify the means here on earth that is all and well. In the afterlife, it is no longer our call, we must face the master, and we cannot question his decisions. Foucault often pulled the holocaust trope, as did Agamben, especially in the later’s "Homo Sacer: Bare And Sovereign Life" but were either the holocaust or Stalin’s holodomor and gulags
necessary? Mortal philosophers can speculate but never truly know, I bet Foucault knows now. Whatever the answer may be.

Part II, Chapter IV

Structuralism (Gramsci and Althusser)

One often associates Althusser and Gramsci will the idea of structures are maintained by capitalism, but can socialism or communism use those same ideas. Even though many socialists preach about direct democracy, how many of those empires landed in totalitarianism? I’d say many. The more wills the people have, the more drives people inspire to have, the more bureaucracy is needed, and laws must be constructed and piled on one another. Often times, you end up with corruption. Social credit, which is an inherently good idea, regardless of your stance, weeds that corruption out, but no matter what, we are always headed in that direction if the will of the people is carried out constantly for every edict the population demands. It matters
not what the structure is made out of, it matters on who is propping the construction up, and people aren’t always decent folks, that’s why democracy in its current state is awful, there must be a balance. Though different epochs produced different regimes, the democracy, for instance, of Ancient Athens is far removed from the liberal democracy of today. People have awful generous amounts of liberty and democracy today. Why is there a need for more? The people can’t be depended on, and excessive freedom is a road down to hell. No matter what, we are headed for what’s coming for us, and at least being policeman to one another will root out the corruption of the structure we currently face, even in Lenin’s time, they still had a military, they still had prisons, they still had bureaucracy, and we all know that anarchist societies don’t last very long.

Part II, Chapter V
Rene Descartes is famous for the line "I think, therefore I am" that line of thinking was challenged by the German Idealist movement that followed, that not only essence preceeds existence, but it has been shown the schools of Francis Bacon and Descartes himself led us to this epistemological hellhole where even the truth is being questioned. We know ourselves, we do not know others. How can we infer the existence of other's consciousness if we don't know ourselves? A person can be told the total sum of 4 and 4 is 8 without it being said its not, Kant had a special word for this kind of knowledge, where we know its correct without experimenting. To take Kant's ideology further, I tend to believe messing with God's creation is why science is a fool's game and the further science got from God (note: Many of the original scientists were also avid churchgoers) the more experimentation was needed, now we are cloning animals, artificial wombs are on the way, the pill, sexual revolution. This is the price we pay for messing up God's creation instead of leaving our essence of life alone. Many people will say that life is wonderful as we speak but for others it may not be so, as inferred that Descartes' existence preceeds essence we do not think twice about someone or maybe not think at all of the struggles of someone who is doing worse. We have ours, so who cares if they don't. the mere fact you exist is all you need to know and care only for yourself, and it must be accelerated as it currently stands, as humankind will need God in their life once again to affirm its not all about them.
to the modern Leftist, many will state that corporations are indeed people, and that's why it's a bad thing, modern Leftists (not including the meta-Left) are also life hating, destructive people. It also justifies the existence of capital, whether or not they like it, it is not money that is evil inherently, its the love of money. The Corporations of today love money so much they will go broke enabling these life hating cretins as to destroy the world. The thing is Marxists, if they are so community oriented, why do they hate life? Is it the gratuitous amount of abortions they get per year? Or they fact they want to destroy gender so that life no longer exists? While I indeed support some forms of socialism (usually revisionism), it cannot be understated that most on the edges of the left seek no more than to destroy familial bonds than help humanity, if they were true Socialists, they would be working nightshifts at homeless shelters, or actually supporting gender roles, or ending abortion, because there is nothing less Socialist than being antisocial and life hating, not its not those "dirty incels" you speak to as if you were speaking to a stray cat who wandered into your neighborhood high rise (you would probably kill it too, just like you would the incel) they are just victims of your life destroying tendency that's as rebellious as your parents were and probably currently are. You are weak and cowardly. Sicut est in vita in morte sumus, sicut et vos!
As a child, were you ever told that "discussing Politics and Religion" was bad? especially in polite conversation? I think we as humans have forgotten how to breach sensitive topics without upsetting others. Its as if we were raised to be unable to talk about such matters that discourse on such subjects has been ruined, granted, I, myself can be quite petulant at times, but thats because I, myself, the author, has trouble relating to others, mostly because in this time its hard to breach anything sensitive without causing a scene, we have unlearned the ability to "agree to disagree" and mostly are just concerned with ourselves, the fruit of Descartes and Bacon, and have become contentious with one another, instead of saying "yes I know, I disagree but see your point even if I don't agree" this kind of thinking is why people have lost jobs, been kicked out of recreational places, and banned from appearing in public. Some have just lost the will to empathize and stay in voluntarily because people can't handle being told they're wrong, or having their opinions contented. And this goes for both sides. this here is the key to tying up loose ends with our community if nothing else, for if we don't do it, now, Ethical Solipsist revolution it is!
Epilogue

I'd like to thank many people, a list that is too long that have inspired me living or dead but it is not only our friends and allies who inspire us to seek truth, it is too our enemies and adversaries that inspire the will to speak your mind, and destroy them with words. For those words could inspire revolution, and revolution isn't always peaceful.

J.
PREFACE

This is another addendum to my previous two essays on "The Future Agrarian State". Each one serves as a piece of the puzzle on how these systems would be achieved as well as philosophical treatises on various ideas about the purposed system's ideas on different subjects regarding things such as religion, leadership and general ethics. I hope you enjoy and take in each section as they are, to hear the original system, refer to part 1, to hear philosophy musings about the state's general material and philosophical ethics, refer to part 2. Let us begin

Part III, Chapter I:
Expansions On Foreign Policy

As stated previously, we should not aid or abett any nation in war or peacetime. Many nations might ask for economic aid, but it all depends on if there are within our sphere of influence, we are not, as stated, to help any nation do anything such as kill combatants for them, or even go as far as killing civilians for their need for national liberation or because they effectively want to win wars in the long run. Although if a nation faces a crisis such as an unjust leader (such as in the fact the leader may be violating the civil liberties of its poor and working class population), we may intervene
only if such an idea is necessary, not to be influenced by international law, the U.N. councils, NATO, or UNESCO, or AIPAC, for none of those will be in our sphere of influence and they are not to force our hand into war just because they have premonitions that such civil rights are being violated, only nations who we, as a state feel, are violating their civil liberties. We do not bring democracy to any nation, and such we do not believe that nations such as China (economically), or Israel (foreign aid) should try to pull us into war against them or in support of them. What goes on their nations is their business and we do not feel its our need to support them or wage war against any nation that does not affect us on a purely physical manner. That being said, anything to protect our economy domestically is paramount and there have been cases of human rights abuses in Israel towards their own citizens. Bibi does not want to acknowledge this because he feels Israel has the right to be eugenic but believes no other nation should be able to be eugenics. Over all, I am not support of eugenics as a whole, because what even constitutes eugenic or dysgenic. The jews of Israel are not even pure themselves and neither are most Europeans, even though they tout their moral superiority over us. A disgusting stance on both accounts. Nevertheless, no nation may treat its minority classes with disdain, however in recent times because of Israel's unjust influence in our political sphere, we have been forced to turn a blind eye to their atrocities because they will threaten to attack. I would like to see them try. As with Russia, the two of us combined could weaken their influence altogether, because of the combined size of our nuclear program. Israel fighting on its own is weak, and would be forced to bend the knee to know that its not ok to do so, as Russia and neither we in the United States do anything to our own populace, despite in ours what Black Lives Matter says our police force. If black people really cared about their lives, they would protest the existence of abortion clinics, which in a future chapter I will get to as why I'm against eugenics as a a whole.

Part III, Chapter II:
Revolutionary Prospects?

The United States here has a terrible track record of activity in the Third world, but as if we were to become a Revolutionary superpower, I do not believe the adjective "Imperialist" is apt as revisionists will claim that anyone in the west is in fact "Imperialist", lest we forget Japan's government during WW2 was Imperialist but many Third world types will sweep that under the rug as "not real Imperialism". We do not seek an Empire but a collective pact of related countries that can fight without aid of any of us, on their own, spiritually not biologically Aryan precept of unilateral war. If those that sympathize with us in foreign countries care to join us, they must be trained the same way our nation's citizens are and must be able to have the testicular fortitude to fight in a manner that would make us proud without our help, rally their workers, their citizens into a militant one set of people that will be able to declare national liberation from the ruling class if need be if the ruling class is unjust towards its own people, its citizens/workers/families/church officials. One should hope a peaceful transition between the current corrupt ruling class and our allies in the citizens, but if violence is inevitable, so it must be, to hunt down those that hold up bourgeoisie values and use torture and Ethical Solipsist revolutionary methods, each person acting as to bring about a state of emergency. As Machiavelli had stated the ends justify the means and however ungodly that statement sounds, its to bring the state into a religious rapture, for no leader of the current state should try to elevate himself above God out of intense devotion to him, (he or she the leader, not God himself). God always comes first in these times, through prayer and action. God helps those who help themselves. the Kurds and Jews have decided to ask for help that does not concern us, or like the Gypsies, resort to criminal activity and fill up European jails because they know not what they do, at best we should pray for their conversion, at worst, they should combated entry into the Perennial tradition.
Part III, Chapter III:
The Immigration Question

Many foreigners must always bring up Manifest Destiny and Imperialism, and that we are paying the price for conquering lands that are not ours. Either they are allergic to Nietzsche or do not realize that that capitalism causes third world immigration. Many global corporations stand with whatever brings in cheap labor and yet the Left cheers this on, as if they are unaware of whom they are cheering on. Nothing corporations do is spiritually Aryan, they are spiritually jewish and do this deceptively. The current detention centers for Mexicans are not fiscally responsible for the average taxpayer. Its either deport them purely or nothing else. A complete waste of time but benefits the elites of both sides greatly. And how terrific that its jews that protest this kind of arrangement. They cannot help but to play all possible sides. Its disgusting and on the topic of such things here, why are They themselves here as well? Do they intensely desire to make it one hundred and ten? Is there a case for making Manifest destiny against them as well? Most people might be thinking camps, oh no, its
worse, just put them on reservations to rot, they still have freedom, but its an outdoor prison, not of the restrained kind, but where ones have the resources cut off, take their money away and see how self-sustaining they can be when they are in poverty. They will die slowly, not quickly, and such a death is way more unfavorable than the supposed torture they got in Hitler’s camps. As for immigration as a whole, it also effects the economic stability of a nation, the only reason corporations shill for more immigration, like I said, is for cheap labor. Americans should demand better, not bend the knee for their corporate overlords. Its not our job to support invaders and their r selected breeding behavior. If they do assimilate, they must obey the customs of the nation, and since the Jews so far have not, they will be pushed on to reservations as someone would have remarked we did to the Natives. Not to say what we did was right, but Natives possess an Aryan spirit the Jews do not. Its important to self-criticize in order to realize how we can improve ourselves as humans, but I see not that these sons of Jacob seek to improve themselves, only to deteriorate the cultures of others. This includes, but not limited to Arabs, blacks, whites, asians, natives, and various other tribes of humans. Because when the Jews get involved, they destroy what has been therefore placed there by God, and replace it with Satan, the father of lies.

Part III, Chapter IV:
On Birth Control And Abortion
Many women believe that the government must stay out of their wombs but then pay for their mistakes. Women are incongruously ambivalent to the ironic stance of such a statement. Some may say "well they will go behind alleyways and get them anyways", similar to a statement on guns. I guess the black market provides, does it not? Thats why the social credit is important, like they did in the puritan days, to shame women who have children out of wedlock. The sudden disappearance of this practice is why women have too much freedom to act like imbeciles. All marriages should be arranged by the state, the coming state, and divorce made illegal. I do not believe marital rape exists, as women are property of their husbands. Almost every major religion states this explicitly. So why do women insist it exists? That is likely due to the fact women think with pure emotion, in essence, they believe its perfectly fine to mutilate a man's genitals at birth but irk at themselves taking responsibility for their own sexuality. Women innately desire to be ravaged by a strong man who treats them rough, biologically speaking they are built for masochism as we men are for sadism. If a woman refuses to care for a child it should be given to a family, based on the base race of the child to a family that will take care of it. Giving black babies to white families is how you get Colin Kapernicks, who end up stabbing their adopted parents in the back, metaphorically speaking, and will never see you as true parents, same goes for the opposite, although no such study has been seen of the effects of such parenting. For it is best if we keep to our own kind, but should be able to associate with one another if we choose to. The subject of white women marrying black men is a result of an absentee father, same goes for atheism, femininity/homosexuality and criminality in males. This has been proven time and again without empirical experimental evidence, for its self-evident. a strong father figure is paramount to a society that favors strength and power. Women now have the upper hand and that's why we are failing. giving them these rights in the west was a disastrous mistake. Woman is here to serve God first and man second, but only to rule her children, and the father is there to make sure their children do not become anything that God does not intent them to be.
Part III, Chapter V:
Justice Of The State

Much of the justice of the law rests on laws that are profane, and I discussed in essay number one, a Supreme court must contain those create laws based on religion but not of religion, blending the different laws through delegations, whether in terms ranging from petty crime to treason, but blended with a pro-Nation, anti-internationalist views. Law enforcement is done by the people for the people, and anyone brought to a court of law must stand before the Jury of their peers, of non-Anonymous people, half of those he has wronged, and half of those who deem him to be an upstanding citizen, and a control group of those of neither opinion, to be judged before each jury, locally, then state, then nationally. As with any delegates, any new laws must pass, unlike today, where there is a parliment, through direct communication between the leader and a combined judicial/parlimentary system and the guild delegates of the local governments, whether it be related to legal matters, financial matters or social matters. that way the laws can not only be decided by delegation, as in a parliment, but put into effect, as per the judicial system, but it will be the edicts of the leader that ultimately decide whether laws go into effect, since the voter can only appoint locally (either at a city or countywide level) what he decides is the best guild delegate, who then appoints his prefered judicial/parlimentary delegate in the state government, and meets with them once a month to see what new issues are to be decided upon, and for the leader to give his word on whether the law will be applied locally, statewide, or nationally. These laws must be in strict accordance with the perennial tradition, any profane or blasphemous laws must be discarded. We shall not raise a country of heathens, but well adjusted citizens.
Part III, Chapter VI: Towards Space?

Since we are a primilary agrarian state, why the need for a space program? Well its likely due to the fact if raw materials become too scarce on this earth we must search for it elsewhere. I once read an article that stated that space exploration was colonialism. How ridiculous! If we encounter intelligent life, they should prove they are on our level if war comes to pass, if they get conquered its on them to regroup. However, in the event of the lack of intelligent life, we can always extend our military program into space exploration and mining, even terraforming, to build a empire outside the realm of planet earth and spread our ideology through the galaxy. Human settlements even, and new raw materials to improve and extend human life, though we must be careful with our carbon footprint outside of earth and not to waste valuable resources. These would primarily be used to create cures for diseases, free of charge, and to extend human life, but never against the Gods or God because its ultimately up to him whether we live long or whether we perish. One of the main things is creating generators that simulate earth’s atmosphere so that terraforming may be possible, and there for possible for the extension of human life. If God so loveth the world, would he not want us to build upon human life? That is there is limits to what we should be able to do, such as cybernetics. Machines do not have a soul, therefore cannot be used for anything that God would not want us to do, such as try and ascend above his creation. For that would be blasphemous, and against any and all doctrines of holy sacred life. Never to challenge him, to echo the Russian cosmists, God gave us the abilities to improve life but never in spite of his creation.
Part III, Chapter VII:

Epilogue

This should be the last in the The Future Agrarian State, I hope you enjoyed and someday hopefully (God willing), this model is for our future, so that our current society can be saved from Godlessness and the misuse of technology as a way to hurt our eco-system, and our connection to God himself. Hail victory.